

Hearing Tuesday 9 March in Melbourne Magistrates' Court matter
L12182685 - Millin v Vincent Rizzo - Victoria Police

There is no statistical or scientific basis for an Emergency in Victoria or in fact any State of Australia.

Daniel Andrews is relying on the State of Emergency in Victoria for his extensive powers to put people in lockdown, to force them to wear masks (which have been proven scientifically to cause health issues), to close businesses and destroy people's livelihoods. to arrest people, to fine people and use other draconian measures.

Despite consistently asking, the people of Victoria have never been given the scientific evidence, or the statistics (deaths) that warrant the decision to call a State of Emergency, or the extension of one.

The following questions need to be answered by the Prosecution

1. Why do we need a State of Emergency when Statistics in Australia show that 99.9% of people under the age of 65 survive the virus, 99.5% of 70 year olds (without the complication of other co-morbidities) survive the virus. The age group at risk is the over 80's?
2. Why do we need a State of Emergency when John Ioannidis of Stanford University – one of the ten most cited scientists in the world has ranked the mortality rate of COVID-19 caused by SARS-COV-2 in the range of that of influenza as early as March 2020? **He demonstrated that the worldwide panic at the end of January 2020 regarding an alleged high mortality rate associated with SARS-Cov 2 infection was and is simply unfounded.** His paper confirms that the majority of people 65 and under survive the Corona Virus. See: <http://bit.ly/3rvOVs4>
3. Why are decisions are being made based on no proper data?
4. Why is there a need for a State of Emergency when the Statistics show there have been a small number of deaths in Australia (Australian Bureau of Statistics 2020 deaths from COVID are 909). This is the lowest average death rate in Australia from upper respiratory infections in the past 5 years and apparently last year influenza deaths completely

disappeared, Prior to COVID, the Australian Bureau of Statistics show that nearly 3,000 people were dying from influenza?

5. Why is no data given to people in Victoria about how many of the alleged COVID “cases” were properly diagnosed by a doctor apart from having a PCR test since now the WHO are saying the PCR test should not be used as the sole diagnostic tool but merely an aid?
6. Please provide the science for your allegation that asymptomatic cases can transmit the virus?

It has been alleged that there is an asymptomatic form of COVID19. Usually doctors cannot make a proper diagnosis unless a person is displaying symptoms. A study of nearly 10,000,000 people stated that out of the nearly 10 million people in the study, “300 asymptomatic cases” were found. Contact tracing was then carried out and of those 300, **no** cases of COVID-19 were detected in any of them. “A total of 1,174 close contacts of the asymptomatic positive cases were traced, and they all tested negative for the COVID-19.” See: <http://bit.ly/30jhXiE>

7. Why are we not told that the vast majority of cases with COVID have survived?
8. Why is the State of Victoria using the PCR test as the **sole** diagnostic tool and running it at high amplifications, well over the recommended frequency?

The WHO has realized some of the problems with the PCR testing in that many false positives are produced and if the cycles of magnification are too high this test produces incorrect results. WHO has issued two notifications -- one on 14th December 2020 and one on 13th January 2021 providing a warning that caution needs to be exercised in using this test, as it is an **aid** to diagnosis and not the **sole** diagnostic tool. It is a known a fact that the PCR test is not able to diagnose whether you have an **infection** from any virus.

See: Version 1 - 7 December 2020 - <http://bit.ly/3c7Evsk>
Version 2 - 13 January 2021 - <http://bit.ly/3qkP68c>

9. Why have very few of the purported deaths from COVID ever been given an autopsy to confirm that this is the actual cause of death and not some other comorbidity or accident?

The cause of death in most cases is merely an assumption by one person certifying the death.

10. Why are more Courts around the world deciding that these draconian measures are unconstitutional or unconscionable?

E.g. the Austrian Constitutional Court declared in October, 2020 that Outlawing gatherings with more than 4 people (10 for clubs), requiring people to keep a minimum distance, Requiring people not to enter premises was unconstitutional and ceased from 31.12.2020 The District Court in Weimar in Germany had a similar view on the restrictions. See - <http://bit.ly/30smlqh>

German judge Thorsten Schleif calls on citizens to defend themselves against corona fines, as they are hard to follow logically and often in contravention of existing law. A restriction on citizen's rights can only be executed with sufficient reason, especially since these measures can be continued indefinitely.

The Appeal Court in Lisbon has stated that a proper diagnosis by a doctor needs to be made that a person is infected. A PCR test (which can create a lot of false positive results) is not sufficient.

11. Why has the WHO never suggested that there should be lockdowns and arrests for non-compliance?

A very serious event (the State of Emergency) has taken place that allows people enormous power, which can and is being abused. People have had their human rights taken away. This situation demands that the State of Emergency is fully justified with statistics that show a high death rate and that if you catch the virus you are likely to die. Neither of these two things is true. Decisions are being made without any reliable data and causing damage to people's lives.